

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/039,374	Applicant(s) GLENN, ROBERT C.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Burd	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Burd.

(2) Jared Engstrom.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 101

Claims discussed:
28-30

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment of paragraph 0099 of the instant specification was discussed to remove the 101 issue. The specific examples of the medium being types of propagated signals were removed. In addition, the broad statement defining the medium as any mechanism that provides information readable by a machine that when executed by the machine, may perform the functions described herein was also deleted. This amendment to the specification overcomes the rejection of claims 28-30 under 35 USC 101.